NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 29; Guidance on the use of fludarabine for Bcell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
 Actavis UK (fludarabine) 	 Allied Health Professionals Federation
 Fresenius Kabi (fludarabine) 	 Board of Community Health Councils in
 Hospira UK (fludarabine) 	Wales
Sanofi (fludarabine)	British National Formulary
 Teva UK (fludarabine) 	Care Quality Commission
	 Commissioning Support Appraisals
Patient/carer groups	Service
Afiya Trust	Department of Health, Social Services
African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
(ACLT)	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Anthony Nolan	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Aplastic Anaemia Trust	Regulatory Agency
Black Health Agency	National Association of Primary Care
Cancer Black Care	National Pharmacy Association
Cancer Equality	NHS Alliance
Cancer52	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia	NHS Confederation
Support Association	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Chronic Myeloid Leukaemia Support	
Group	Comparator manufacturers
Equalities National Council	Actavis (doxorubicin, prednisolone)
Help Adolescents with Cancer	Alliance Pharmaceuticals (prednisolone)
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	Amdipharm (prednisolone)
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	Baxter Healthcare (cyclophosphamide)
Leukaemia Cancer Society	Eli Lilly and Company (vincristine)
Leukaemia CARE	Genus Pharmaceuticals (vincristine)
Lymphoma Association	Hameln Pharms (doxorubicin)
Macmillan Cancer Support	Hospira (doxorubicin, vincristine)
Maggie's Centres	Medac (doxorubicin)
Marie Curie Cancer Care	Pfizer (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin,
Muslim Council of Britain	prednisolone)
Muslim Health Network	Teva UK (doxorubicin, vincristine)
Rarer Cancers Foundation	Wockhardt (doxorubicin, prednisolone)
 South Asian Health Foundation 	Zentiva UK (prednisolone)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Data and an analysis
	Relevant research groups

NICE Technology Appraisal No.29; Guidance on the use of fludarabine for B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia

Issue date: October 2013 Page 1 of 2

- Tenovus
- United Kingdom Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum

Professional groups

- Association of Cancer Physicians
- British Association for Services to the Elderly
- British Committee for Standards in Haematology (BCSH)
- British Geriatrics Society
- British Psychosocial Oncology Society (BPOS)
- British Society for Haematology
- Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum
- Cancer Research UK
- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- UK Health Forum
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association
- United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society

Others

- Department of Health
- NHS England
- NHS Hambleton, Richmondshire and Whitby CCG
- NHS Vale of York CCG
- Welsh Government

- Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group
- Elimination of Leukaemia Fund
- Health Research Authority
- Institute of Cancer Research
- Leukaemia & Lymphoma Research
- Leukaemia Busters
- MRC Clinical Trials Unit
- National Cancer Research Institute
- National Cancer Research Network
- National Institute for Health Research
- Research Institute for the Care of Older People

Assessment Group

 National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme

Associated Guideline Groups

 National Collaborating Centre For Cancer

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

NICE Technology Appraisal No.29; Guidance on the use of fludarabine for B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia

Issue date: October 2013 Page 2 of 2

APPENDIX A

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: October 2013 Page 3 of 2

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.

Issue date: October 2013 Page 4 of 2