NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Mirabegron for the treatment of overactive bladder

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Astellas Pharma (mirabegron)	Board of Community Health Councils in
	Wales
Patient/carer groups	British National Formulary
Afiya Trust	Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	Commissioning Support Appraisals Service
Bladder and Bowel Foundation Coursel and Course	Department of Health, Social Services and Diable Sefety for North are Iraliand.
Counsel and Care Favelities National Counsil	Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Equalities National Council Muslim Council of Pritain	Healthcare Improvement Scotland Madisippe and Uselthcare products
Muslim Council of BritainMuslim Health Network	Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
Muslim Health Network South Asian Health Foundation	 National Association of Primary Care
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	National Pharmacy Association
Specialised Fleatificate Alliance	NHS Alliance
Professional groups	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
British Association for Services to the	NHS Confederation
Elderly	Public Health Wales NHS Trust
 British Association of Urological Nurses 	Scottish Medicines Consortium
British Association of Urological	
Surgeons	Possible comparator manufacturers
British Geriatrics Society	Actavis UK (oxybutynin)
British Urological Foundation	Almus Pharmaceuticals (oxybutynin)
Cystitis and Overactive Bladder Foundation	Amdipharm (propiverine)
Foundation	Arrow Generics (oxybutynin)
Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing	Astellas Pharma (solifenacin)
Royal College of NursingRoyal College of Pathologists	Co-Pharma (oxybutynin) Oalen (transiture ablestide)
 Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians 	Galen (trospium chloride) Generica LIK (evylutturia)
 Royal Pharmaceutical Society 	Generics UK (oxybutynin)Genus Pharmaceuticals (oxybutynin)
Royal Society of Medicines	Janssen (oxybutynin)
United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy	Kent Pharmaceuticals (oxybutynin)
Association	Niche Generics (oxybutynin)
	Novartis Pharmaceuticals UK (darifenacin)
<u>Others</u>	Pfizer (fesoterodine fumarate, oxybutynin,
Central and Eastern Cheshire NHS PCT	tolterodine)
Department of Health	Sandoz (oxybutynin)
NHS Walsall	Sanofi (oxybutynin)
Welsh Government	Speciality European Pharma (trospium
	chloride)
	Teva UK (oxybutynin)
	Winthrop UK (oxybutynin)
	Zentiva (oxybutynin)

National Institute for Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of mirabegron for the treatment of overactive bladder Issue date: January 2012 Page 1 of 2

Relevant research groups

- MRC Clinical Trials Unit
- National Institute for Health Research
- Research Institute for the Care of Older People

Evidence Review Group

- Evidence Review Group tbc
- National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme

Associated Guideline Groups

National Clinical Guidelines Centre

Associated Public Health Groups

tbc

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Clinical Excellence

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.