NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Ranibizumab for the treatment of choroidal neovascularisation associated with pathological myopia

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors Novartis Pharmaceuticals 	General Allied Health Professionals Federation
(ranibizumab)	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
 Patient/carer groups Action for Blind People 	British National FormularyCare Quality Commission
 Afiya Trust Black Health Agency 	 Commissioning Support Appraisals Service
 Equalities National Council Eyecare Trust 	 Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Fight for Sight	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
 Independent Age Macular Society 	Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency
Muslim Council of BritainMuslim Health Network	 National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association
 Organisation of Blind African Caribbeans 	NHS AllianceNHS Commercial Medicines Unit
 Royal National Institute of Blind People (RNIB) 	NHS ConfederationPublic Health Wales NHS Trust
SeeAbilitySense	Scottish Medicines Consortium
 South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	 Possible comparator manufacturers Moorfields Pharmaceuticals
Thomas Pocklington Trust	(bevacizumab)Novartis Pharmaceuticals (verteporfin)
 Professional groups British Association for Services to the 	 Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust
 British Geriatrics Society 	Pharmacy (bevacizumab)
 British Ophthalmic Anaesthesia Society (BOAS) 	 <u>Relevant research groups</u> Cochrane Eyes and Vision Group
College of Optometrists	Eyehope
 Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Ophthalmologists 	 Health Research Authority Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for the technology appraisal of ranibizumab for the treatment of choroidal neovascularisation associated with pathological myopia Issue date: April 2013 Pag

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association 	 MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Research Institute for the Care of Older People
Others • Department of Health • NHS England • NHS Lambeth CCG • NHS Sutton CCG • Welsh Government	 <u>Evidence Review Group</u> Aberdeen HTA Group National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Clinical Guidelines Centre <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> None

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland ; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.