

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.301; Fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant for treating chronic diabetic macular oedema after an inadequate response to prior therapy

Matrix of consultees and commentators

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|---|--|
| <p><u>Company/sponsor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alimera Sciences (fluocinolone acetonide) <p><u>Patient/carers groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action for Blind People • BEMDA: Black and Ethnic Minority Diabetes Association • Diabetes Research & Wellness Foundation • Diabetes UK • Eyecare Trust • Fight for Sight • Guide Dogs • Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation (JDRF) • Macular Society • Muslim Council of Britain • National Federation of the Blind of the UK • Network of Sikh Organisations • Organisation of Blind African Caribbeans (OBAC) • Royal National Institute of Blind People (RNIB) • SeeAbility • Sense • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Thomas Pocklington Trust <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Optometrists • British and Irish Orthoptic Society | <p><u>General commentators</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Wales Council for the Blind • Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee • All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre <p><u>Comparators</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allergan (dexamethasone) • Bayer (aflibercept) • Coherent (photocoagulation) • KLS Martin (photocoagulation) • Litechnica (photocoagulation) • Lumenis (UK) (photocoagulation) • Novartis Pharmaceuticals (ranibizumab) • Quantel Medical (photocoagulation) • Roche (bevacizumab) • Topcon (photocoagulation) |

NICE Technology Appraisal No.301; Fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant for treating chronic diabetic macular oedema after an inadequate response to prior therapy

Issue date: January 2018

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018. All rights reserved.

| | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Geriatrics Society • British Ophthalmic Anaesthesia Society (BOAS) • College of Optometrists • Optical Confederation • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Ophthalmologists • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS Bromley CCG • NHS England • NHS North Lincolnshire CCG • Welsh Government | <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Council for Prevention of Blindness • Cochrane Eyes and Vision Group • Eye Hope • Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Eye Research Centre • National Institute for Health Research <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales |
|---|--|

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.