NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Aflibercept for treating visual impairment caused by macular oedema secondary to central retinal vein occlusion

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Bayer (aflibercept)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Action for Blind People	British National Formulary
Afiya Trust	Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	Commissioning Support Appraisals
Equalities National Council	Service
Eyecare Trust	Department of Health, Social Services
Fight for Sight	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Independent Age	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Macular Society	Medicines and Healthcare products
Muslim Council of Britain	Regulatory Agency
Muslim Health Network	National Association of Primary Care
Organisation of Blind African	National Pharmacy Association
Caribbeans	NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Royal National Institute of Blind Royal (RNIR)	 NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation
People (RNIB)SeeAbility	 NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust
 SeeAbility Sense 	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
 South Asian Health Foundation 	
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	Possible comparator manufacturers
 Thomas Pocklington Trust 	 Allergan (dexamethasone)
	 Moorfields Pharmaceuticals
Professional groups	(bevacizumab)
 British Association for Services to the 	Novartis Pharmaceuticals (ranibizumab)
Elderly	Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen
British and Eire Association of	University Hospitals NHS Trust
Vitreoretinal Surgeons (BEAVRS)	Pharmacy (bevacizumab)
British Geriatrics Society	
British Ophthalmic Anaesthesia	Relevant research groups
Society (BOAS)	Cochrane Eyes and Vision Group
College of Optometrists	• Eye Hope
Royal College of General Practitioners	Health Research Authority
Royal College of Nursing	Institute of Ophthalmology, University

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for the technology appraisal of aflibercept for treating visual impairment caused by macular oedema secondary to central retinal vein occlusion Issue date: June 2013

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Ophthalmologists Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association Others Department of Health NHS Coventry and Rugby CCG NHS Enfield CCG NHS England Welsh Government 	 College London MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Eye Research Centre National Institute for Health Research Research Institute for the Care of Older People Evidence Review Group Warwick Evidence National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Clinical Guidelines Centre Associated Public Health Groups None

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland ; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.