NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Afatinib for treating epidermal growth factor receptor mutation positive locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsorsBoehringer Ingelheim (afatinib)Patient/carer groupsAfiya TrustBlack Health AgencyBritish Lung FoundationCancer Black CareCancer EqualityEqualities National CouncilHelen Rollason Cancer CharityIndependent AgeMarie Curie Cancer SupportMaggie's CentresMarie Curie Cancer CareMuslim Health NetworkRoy Castle Lung Cancer FoundationSpecialised Healthcare Alliance	 <u>General</u> Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium
 Tenovus UK Lung Cancer Coalition <u>Professional groups</u> Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists British Association for Services to the Elderly British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Thoracic Society 	 <u>Comparator manufacturers</u> Accord Healthcare (carboplatin, cisplatin, gemcitabine, paclitaxel) Actavis UK (docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel, vinorelbine) AstraZeneca (gefitinib) Bristol-Myers Squibb (carboplatin, cisplatin, paclitaxel) Fresenius Kabi (paclitaxel) Hospira UK (carboplatin, cisplatin , docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel, vinorelbine) Lilly UK (gemcitabine, pemetrexed)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of afatinib for treating epidermal growth factor receptor mutation positive locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK National Lung Cancer Forum for Nurses Primary Care Respiratory Society UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	 Medac UK (docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel, vinorelbine) Mylan UK (gemcitabine) Pfizer (cisplatin) Pierre Fabre (vinorelbine) Roche Products (erlotinib) Sandoz (carboplatin, cisplatin, docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel, vinorelbine) Sanofi (docetaxel) Sun Pharmaceuticals (carboplatin, gemcitabine) Teva UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, gemcitabine, paclitaxel) Wockhardt UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, paclitaxel, vinorelbine)
Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Slough CCG NHS West Lancashire CCG Welsh Government	 <u>Relevant research groups</u> British Thoracic Oncology Group Cochrane Lung Cancer Group Health Research Authority Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Research Institute for the Care of Older People
	 <u>Evidence Review Group</u> Kleijnen Reviews National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme
	 <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Collaborating Centre for Cancer
	Associated Public Health Groups None

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of afatinib for treating epidermal growth factor receptor mutation positive locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for the technology appraisal of afatinib for treating epidermal growth factor receptor mutation positive locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer

Definitions:

<u>Consultees</u>

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland ; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of afatinib for treating epidermal growth factor receptor mutation positive locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer