## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

# **Single Technology Appraisal**

# Enzalutamide for the treatment of metastatic hormone relapsed prostate cancer previously treated with a docetaxel-containing regimen

## Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Astellas Pharma (Enzalutamide)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Afiya Trust	<ul> <li>British National Formulary</li> </ul>
Black Health Agency	Care Quality Commission
Bob Champion Cancer Trust	<ul> <li>Commissioning Support Appraisals</li> </ul>
Cancer Black Care	Service
Cancer Equality	Department of Health, Social Services
Equalities National Council	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Everyman	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity	Medicines and Healthcare products
Independent Age	Regulatory Agency
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	National Association of Primary Care
Macmillan Cancer Support	National Pharmacy Association
Maggie's Centres	NHS Alliance
Marie Curie Cancer Care	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Men's Health Forum	NHS Confederation
Muslim Council of Britain	Public Health Wales NHS Trust
Muslim Health Network	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Orchid	Descible community was suffered was
PCaSO – Prostate Cancer Network	Possible comparator manufacturers
Prostate Cancer UK	Baxter Oncology (mitoxantrone)
Prostate Cancer Support Federation	Hospira (mitoxantrone)
Prostate Help Association	Janssen (abiraterone)  Conden (mitayantrana)
South Asian Health Foundation	Sandoz (mitoxantrone)     Washbardt (reitoxantrone)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Wockhardt (mitoxantrone)
Tenovus	Polovant recognish groups
	<ul><li>Relevant research groups</li><li>Cochrane Prostatic Diseases and</li></ul>
Professional groups	Urologic Cancers Group
Association of Cancer Physicians	Health Research Authority
British Association for Services to the    State   Property   Property	Institute of Cancer Research
Elderly	MDO OF COLT CALLED
British Association of Urological	MRC Clinical Trials Unit

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

F matrix for the technology appraisal of enzalutamide for the treatment of metastatic hormone relapsed prostate cancer previously treated with a docetaxel-containing regimen Issue date: April 2013

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul> <li>Nurses</li> <li>British Association of Urological Surgeons</li> <li>British Geriatrics Society</li> <li>British Institute of Radiology</li> <li>British Prostate Group</li> <li>British Psychosocial Oncology Society</li> <li>British Uro-Oncology Group</li> <li>Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum</li> <li>Cancer Research UK</li> <li>Pelican Cancer Foundation</li> <li>Royal College of General Practitioners</li> <li>Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>Royal College of Physicians</li> <li>Royal College of Radiologists</li> <li>Royal College of Radiologists</li> <li>Royal Pharmaceutical Society</li> <li>Royal Society of Medicine</li> <li>Society and College of Radiologists</li> <li>United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association</li> <li>United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society</li> <li>Urology Foundation</li> </ul> Others <ul> <li>Aneurin Bevan LHB</li> <li>NHS Bradford</li> <li>Department of Health</li> <li>Welsh Government</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>National Cancer Research Institute</li> <li>National Cancer Research Network</li> <li>National Institute for Health Research</li> <li>Ovarian &amp; Prostate Cancer Research Trust</li> <li>Pro Cancer Research Fund</li> <li>Prostate Cancer Research Centre</li> <li>Research Institute of the Care of Older People</li> <li>Evidence Review Group</li> <li>Aberdeen HTA Group</li> <li>National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme</li> <li>Associated Guideline Groups</li> <li>National Collaborating Centre for Cancer</li> <li>Associated Public Health Groups</li> <li>tbc</li> </ul>

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

#### PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

#### **Definitions:**

## **Consultees**

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

## **Commentators**

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence F matrix for the technology appraisal of enzalutamide for the treatment of metastatic hormone relapsed prostate cancer previously treated with a docetaxel-containing regimen Issue date: April 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

## Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.