NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Enzalutamide for the treatment of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer previously treated with a docetaxel-containing regimen

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Astellas Pharma (enzalutamide)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Afiya Trust	 British National Formulary
Black Health Agency	Care Quality Commission
Bob Champion Cancer Trust	 Commissioning Support Appraisals
Cancer Black Care	Service
Cancer Equality	Department of Health, Social Services
Counsel and Care	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Equalities National Council	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Everyman	Medicines and Healthcare products Parallela A report
Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity	Regulatory Agency
Macmillan Cancer Support	National Association of Primary Care National Pharmanus Association
Maggie's Centres	National Pharmacy Association
Marie Curie Cancer Care	NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Llastite Naturalis	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation
Muslim Health Network Orabid	 NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust
Orchid Doc SO	 Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium
PCaSO – Prostate Cancer Network Prostate Cancer LIK	- Scottisti Medicilies Collsoftiulli
Prostate Cancer UK Prostate Cancer Support Foderation	Possible comparator manufacturers
Prostate Cancer Support Federation Prostate Help Association	Baxter Oncology (mitoxantrone)
Prostate Help AssociationSouth Asian Health Foundation	Hospira (mitoxantrone)
South Asian Health FoundationSpecialised Healthcare Alliance	Janssen (abiraterone)
Tenovus	Sandoz (mitoxantrone)
- I GHOVUS	Wockhardt (mitoxantrone)
Professional groups	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Association of Cancer Physicians	Relevant research groups
British Association for Services to the	 Cochrane Prostatic Diseases and
Elderly	Urologic Cancers Group
British Association of Urological	 Institute of Cancer Research
Nurses	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
British Association of Urological	National Cancer Research Institute

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of enzalutamide for castration-resistant prostate cancer

Issue date: September 2012

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Surgeons British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Prostate Group British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Uro-Oncology Group Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Pelican Cancer Foundation Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	 National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Ovarian & Prostate Cancer Research Trust Pro Cancer Research Fund Prostate Action (formerly Prostate UK/Prostate Cancer Research Foundation) Prostate Cancer Research Centre Research Institute of the Care of Older People Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups tbc
 Others Airedale, Bradford & Leeds PCT Cluster Cardiff and Vale University Health Board Department of Health Welsh Government 	

Page 2 of 6

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of enzalutamide for castration-resistant prostate cancer

Issue date: September 2012 Page 3 of 6

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.