NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA Dimethyl fumarate for treating relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Consultation

1.	Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping
	process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?

Potential equality issues raised during the appraisal were outside the remit of NICE technology appraisal guidance.

2.	Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

3.	Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the
	Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

No.

No.

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for

	the specific group?
No.	
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
No.	
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
N/A	
7.	Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?

The summary table states that no equality issues relevant to the Committee's

Approved by Associate Director (name): Elisabeth George

preliminary recommendations were raised.

Date: 09 09 13

Final appraisal determination

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these? No equality issues were raised during consultation. 2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group? No. 3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? No. 4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality? No. 5. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where? The summary table states that no equality issues relevant to the guidance

were raised.			

Approved by Centre or Programme Director (name): Meindert Boysen

Date: 12 06 14