NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Imatinib for the adjuvant treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumours (review of TA196)

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultosa	Commentations (no nimbt to collemit on
Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	<u>General</u>
 Novartis Pharmaceuticals (imatinib) 	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Afiya Trust	British National Formulary
Beating Bowel Cancer	Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	Commissioning Support Appraisals
Bladder and Bowel Foundation	Service
Bowel Cancer Information	Department of Health, Social Services
Bowel Cancer UK	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer 52	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer Black Care	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Cancer Equality	Regulatory Agency
Colostomy Association	 National Association of Primary Care
Equalities National Council	National Pharmacy Association
GIST Support UK	NHS Alliance
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	NHS Confederation
Ileostomy and Internal Pouch Support	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Group	
Macmillan Cancer Support	Possible Comparator manufacturer(s)
Maggie's Centres	None
Marie Curie Cancer Care	
Muslim Council of Britain	Relevant research groups
Muslim Health Network	Bowel and Cancer Research
0.1	Cochrane Upper Gastrointestinal and
	Pancreatic Diseases Group
Oesophageal Patients Association Oetomy Lifestyle	CORE- Digestive Disorders Foundation
Ostomy Lifestyle Peror Concern Foundation	Health Research Authority
Rarer Cancers Foundation Sergame LIV	Institute of Cancer Research
Sarcoma UK South Asian Health Foundation	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
South Asian Health Foundation South Asian Health Foundation	National Cancer Research Institute
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	National Cancer Research Network
Tenovus	National Institute for Health Research

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of imatinib for the adjuvant treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumours (review of TA196)

Issue date: October 2013 Page 1 of 4

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Research Institute for the Care of Older Professional groups People Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Surgeons of Great **Evidence Review Group** Southampton Health Technology Britain and Ireland Assessment Centre (SHTAC) Association of Upper Gastrointestinal Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland National Institute for Health Research British Association of Surgical Health Technology Assessment Programme Oncology British Geriatrics Society Associated Guideline Groups British Institute for Radiology National Collaborating Centre for British Psychosocial Oncology Society Cancer (BPOS) British Society of Gastroenterology Associated Public Health Groups Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum Public Health England Cancer Research UK Public Health Wales NHS Trust Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers **United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy** Association UK Health Forum United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS Blackpool CCG NHS North Tyneside CCG NHS England Welsh Government

Issue date: October 2013

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: October 2013 Page 3 of 4

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.