

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Axitinib for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma after failure of prior systematic treatment

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators (pre-referral)

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pfizer (axitinib) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Afiya Trust • Black Health Agency • British Kidney Patient Association • Cancer Black Care • Cancer Equality • CANCERactive • Cancer 52 • Counsel and Care • Equalities National Council • Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity • James Whale Fund for Kidney Cancer • Kidney Alliance • Kidney Cancer UK • Kidney Research UK • Macmillan Cancer Support • Maggie's Centres • Marie Curie Cancer Care • Muslim Council of Britain • Muslim Health Network • National Kidney Federation • Rarer Cancers Foundation • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Sue Ryder Care • Tenovus <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Cancer Physicians • British Association for Services to the Elderly • British Association of Urological Nurses 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Renal Industries • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Commissioning Support Appraisals Service • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Public Health Wales NHS Trust • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Welsh Kidney Patients Association • Welsh Urological Society <p><u>Possible comparator manufacturers</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Prostatic Disease and Urological Cancers Group • Institute of Cancer Research • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Cancer Research Institute • National Cancer Research Network • National Institute for Health Research • Research Institute of the Care of Older People

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Association of Urological Surgeons • British Geriatrics Society • British Institute of Radiology (BIR) • British Psychosocial Oncology Society • British Renal Society • Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum • Cancer Research UK • Renal Association • Renal Pharmacy Group • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Radiologists • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • Society and College of Radiographers • Society for DGH Nephrologists • United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association • United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS Devon • NHS Norfolk • Welsh Government 	<p><u>Evidence Review Group</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence Review Group tbc • National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <p><u>Associated Guideline Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Collaborating Centre for Cancer <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • tbc

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTees AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are:

manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland ; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.