NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Regorafenib for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer following prior treatment for metastatic disease

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Bayer (regorafenib)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Afiya Trust	British National Formulary
Beating Bowel Cancer	Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	Commissioning Support Appraisals
Bladder and Bowel Foundation	Service
Bowel Cancer Information (formerly	Department of Health, Social Services
Lynn's Bowel Cancer Campaign)	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Bowel Cancer UK	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer Black Care	Medicines and Healthcare products
Cancer Equality	Regulatory Agency
Colostomy Association	National Association of Primary Care
Equalities National Council	National Pharmacy Association
Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity	NHS Alliance
IA: Ileostomy and Internal Pouch	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Support Group	NHS Confederation
Independent Age	Public Health Wales NHS Trust
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Lynn's Bowel Cancer Association	
Macmillan Cancer Support	Possible comparator manufacturers
 Maggie's Centres 	None
Marie Curie Cancer Care	
Muslim Council of Britain	Relevant research groups
Muslim Health Network	Cochrane Colorectal Cancer Group
Ostomy Lifestyle	CORE- Digestive Disorders Foundatio
 South Asian Health Foundation 	Health Research Authority
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Institute of Cancer Research
Teenage Cancer Trust	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Tenovus	National Cancer Research Institute
	National Cancer Research Network
Professional groups	National Institute for Health Research
 Association of Cancer Physicians 	Research Institute for the Care of Olde
Association of Coloproctologists of	People
Great Britain	
British Association for Services to the	
onal Institute for Health and Care Excellence	1

Final matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of regorafenib for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer following prior treatment for metastatic disease Issue date: May 2013

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Elderly British Geriatrics Society British Institute for Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society (BPOS) British Society of Gastroenterology Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	 Evidence Review Group Warwick Evidence National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Collaborating Centre for Cancer <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> tbc
Others Department of Health NHS Bracknell and Ascot CCG NHS South Devon and Torbay CCG NHS England Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Final matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of regorafenib for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer following prior treatment for metastatic disease Issue date: May 2013

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.