NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Omalizumab for previously treated chronic spontaneous urticaria

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Novartis Pharmaceuticals	Allied Health Professionals Federation
(omalizumab)	Board of Community Health Councils ir
	Wales
Patient/carer groups	British National Formulary
Action Against Allergy	Care Quality Commission
Action for Children	• Department of Health, Social Services
Action for Sick Children	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Afiya Trust	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Allergy UK	Medicines and Healthcare products
Black Health Agency	Regulatory Agency
 Changing faces 	National Association of Primary Care
Children's Society	National Pharmacy Association
Equalities National Council	NHS Alliance
Let's Face It	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Muslim Council of Britain	NHS Confederation
Muslim Health Network	Scottish Medicines Consortium
National Children's Bureau	
National Parent Partnership Network	Comparator manufacturers
 Skin Care Campaign 	Accord Healthcare (cimetidine ,
South Asian Health Foundation	methotrexate, montelukast,
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	mycophenolate mofetil, ranitidine)
WellChild	Actavis UK (montelukast,
	mycophenolate mofetil, ranitidine)
Professional groups	Amco (methotrexate)
 British Association of Dermatologists 	AstraZeneca (zafirlukast)
 British Contact Dermatitis Society 	Aurobindo Pharma - Milpharm
British Dermatological Nursing Group	(montelukast, ranitidine)
 British Geriatrics Society 	Chemidex Pharma (cimetidine)
British Skin Foundation	The Boots Company (ranitidine)
 British Society for Allergy and Clinical 	Consilient Health (montelukast)
Immunology	• Dexcel (ciclosporin, cimetidine,
British Society for Paediatric	montelukast)
Dermatology	Flynn Pharma (nizatidine)
 Primary Care Dermatology Society 	Galpharm (ranitidine)
Royal College of General Practitioners	GlaxoSmithKline (ranitidine)
 Royal College of Nursing 	HameIn Pharmaceuticals
onal Institute for Health and Care Excellence	1

Matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of omalizumab for previously treated spontaneous urticaria Issue date: May 2014

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association Others Department of Health NHS England NHS East Staffordshire CCG NHS Rushcliffe CCG Welsh Government 	 (methotrexate) Hospira UK (methotrexate) Medac GmbH (methotrexate) Medreich (ranitidine) Merck, Sharpe & Dohme (montelukast) Mylan (nizatidine) Novartis (ciclosporin) Omega Pharma (ranitidine) Orion Pharma UK (methotrexate) Pfizer (methotrexate) Reckitt Benckiser Healthcare (ranitidine) Roche Products (mycophenolate mofetil) Rosemont Pharmaceuticals (cimetidine, ranitidine) Sandoz (methotrexate, montelukast, mycophenolate mofetil, ranitidine) Sandoz (methotrexate, montelukast, mycophenolate mofetil, nizatidine) Teva UK (ciclosporin, cimetidine, methotrexate, montelukast, mycophenolate mofetil, nizatidine) Winthrop Pharmaceuticals (mycophenolate mofetil) Wockhardt UK (methotrexate, montelukast, mycophenolate mofetil) Zentiva (mycophenolate mofetil) Zentiva (mycophenolate mofetil) Zentiva fresearch groups British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology, University of Nottingham Cochrane Skin Group Health Research Authority MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Research Institute for the Care of Older People Skin Research Centre, University of Leeds Skin Treatment & Research Trust

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
	 <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Clinical Guidelines Centre
	 <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

<u>Consultees</u>

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.