

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.339; Omalizumab for treating previously treated chronic spontaneous urticaria

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company/sponsor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Novartis Pharmaceuticals (Omalizumab) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action Against Allergy • Allergy UK • British Skin Foundation • Changing Faces • Let's Face it • Muslim Council of Britain • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Paediatric Emergency Medicines • British Trauma Society • British Association for Accident and Emergency Medicine • British Association for Immediate Care • British Association of Dermatologists • British Contact Dermatitis Society • British Dermatological Nursing Group • British Geriatrics Society • British Skin Foundation • British Society for Cutaneous Allergy • Primary Care Dermatology Society • Royal College of Emergency Medicine • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • Surgical Dressing Manufacturers Association 	<p><u>General commentators</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee • All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre <p><u>Comparators</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accord Healthcare (methotrexate) • Concordia International (methotrexate) • Dexcel Pharma (ciclosporin) • Hameln Pharmaceuticals (methotrexate) • Hospira UK (methotrexate) • Medac (methotrexate) • Mylan UK (ciclosporin) • Nordic Pharma (methotrexate) • Novartis (ciclosporin) • Orion Pharma (methotrexate) • Pfizer (methotrexate, sulfasalazine) • Sandoz (methotrexate)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tissue Viability Society • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS England • NHS Great Yarmouth & Waveney CCG • NHS Islington CCG • Welsh Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teva UK (ciclosporin, methotrexate) <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society • Cochrane Skin Group • Cochrane Wounds Group • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Institute for Health Research • Skin Treatment and Research Trust <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales
--	--

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.