NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Secukinumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Novartis Pharmaceuticals	Allied Health Professionals Federation
(secukinumab)	Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	British National Formulary
Afiya Trust	Care Quality Commission
Allergy UK	Commissioning Support Appraisals
Black Health Agency	Service
Changing faces	Department of Health, Social Services
Equalities National Council	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Let's Face It	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Muslim Council of Britain	Medicines and Healthcare products
Muslim Health Network	Regulatory Agency
Psoriasis Association	National Association of Primary Care
Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis	National Pharmacy Association
Alliance	NHS Alliance
South Asian Health Foundation	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	NHS Confederation
	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Professional groups	
British Association for Services to the	Comparator manufacturer(s)
Elderly	 Janssen (ustekinumab)
British Association of Dermatologists	AbbVie (adalimumab)
British Contact Dermatitis Society	 Merck Sharp & Dohme (infliximab)
British Dermatological Nursing Group	Pfizer (etanercept)
British Geriatrics Society	Relevant research groups
British Skin Foundation	British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society
Primary Care Dermatology Society	Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology,
Royal College of General Practitioners	University of Nottingham
Royal College of Nursing	Cochrane Skin Group Authoritis
Royal College of Pathologists	Health Research Authority MBO Oliving Trials Heit
Royal College of Physicians	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	National Institute for Health Research
Royal Society of Medicine	Research Institute for the Care of Older
 United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy 	People

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of secukinumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis

Issue date: September 2013

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Association	Skin Research Centre, University of Leeds
Others Department of Health	Skin Treatment & Research Trust
NHS England	Evidence Review Group
 NHS Nottingham West CCG 	Evidence Review Group tbc
NHS Tameside and Glossop CCGWelsh Government	National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme
	Associated Guideline Groups
	National Clinical Guidelines Centre
	 Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of secukinumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis

Issue date: September 2013

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of secukinumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis

Issue date: September 2013

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.