NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.350; Secukinumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company/sponsor	General commentators
Novartis (secukinumab)	 All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Action Against Allergy	Board of Community Health Councils in
Allergy UK	Wales
British Skin Foundation	British National Formulary
Changing Faces	Care Quality Commission
Let's Face it	Department of Health, Social Services
Muslim Council of Britain	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
 Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis 	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Alliance	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Psoriasis Association	Regulatory Agency
South Asian Health Foundation	National Association of Primary Care
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	National Pharmacy Association
Specialised Fleatificate Alliance	NHS Alliance
Professional groups	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
British Association of Dermatologists	Scottish Medicines Consortium
British Dermatological Nursing Group	Welsh Health Specialised Services
British Geriatrics Society	Committee
 British Society for Cutaneous Allergy 	
 Primary Care Dermatology Society 	<u>Comparators</u>
Royal College of General Practitioners	 AbbVie Limited (adalimumab)
Royal College of Nursing	 Accord Healthcare (methotrexate)
Royal College of Pathologists	Almirall (dimethyl fumarate)
Royal College of Physicians	Biogen (dimethyl fumarate, etanercept,
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	infliximab)
	Celgene (apremilast)
	Concordia International (methotrexate)
UK Clinical Pharmacy Association	
Otherna	` ' '
<u>Others</u>	Hameln pharmaceuticals Itd
Department for Health and Social	(methotrexate)
Care	Hospira (infliximab. methotrexate)

NICE Technology Appraisal No.350; Secukinumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis © NICE 2018. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

- NHS England
- NHS Leeds West CCG
- NHS West London CCG
- Welsh Government

- Eli Lilly (ixekizumab)
- Genus Phamaceutical (Acitretin)
- Janssen (guselkumab, ustekinumab)
- LEO Pharma (brodalumab)
- Merck Sharp & Dohme (infliximab)
- Mylan UK (cyclosporine)
- Napp (infliximab)
- Nordic Pharma (methotrexate)
- Novartis Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin, secukinumab)
- Orion Pharma UK (methotrexate)
- Pfizer (etanercept, methotrexate)
- Rosemont Pharmaceuticals (methotrexate)
- Sandoz (etanercept)
- UCB Pharma (certolizumab pegol)

Relevant research groups

- British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society
- Cochrane Skin Group
- MRC Clinical Trials Unit
- National Institute for Health Research
- Skin Treatment and Research Trust

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.