NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Edoxaban tosylate for preventing stroke and systemic embolism in people with non-valvular atrial fibrillation [ID624]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|---|---|
| Company Daiichi Sankyo (edoxaban tosylate) Patient/carer groups Afiya Trust Anticoagulation Europe Arrhythmia Alliance Atrial Fibrillation Association Black Health Agency British Cardiac Patients Association Cardiovascular Care Partnership (UK) Different Strokes Equalities National Council HEART UK Lifeblood: The Thrombosis Charity Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network Network of Sikh OrganisationsPumping Marvellous Somerville Foundation South Asian Health Foundation South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Stroke Association Professional groups Anticoagulation Specialist Association Association of British Neurologists British Association for Nursing in Cardiovascular Care British Association of Stroke Physicians | |
| British Cardiovascular Intervention Society (BCIS) British Cardiovascular Society British Geriatrics Society | Taro Pharmaceuticals UK (warfarin) Teva UK (warfarin) Zentiva UK (warfarin) |

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of edoxaban tosylate for preventing stroke and systemic embolism in people with non-valvular atrial fibrillation [ID624] Issue date: November 2014

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) **British Heart Foundation** Relevant research groups Antithrombotic Trialists Collaboration **British Orthopaedic Association** British Society for Haematology British Society for Cardiovascular Research British Society for Haemostasis and Central Cardiac Audit Database **Thrombosis** Cochrane Heart Group British Society for Heart Failure Cochrane Stroke Group **British Thoracic Society** British Vein Institute CORDA European Council for Cardiovascular Clinical Leaders of Thrombosis Research (CLOT) College of Emergency Medicine Health Research Authority MRC Clinical Trials Unit Heart Rhythm UK National Institute for Health Research Primary Care Cardiovascular Society Wellcome Trust Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing **Evidence Review Group** Royal College of Pathologists Liverpool Reviews & Implementation Royal College of Physicians Group, University of Liverpool Royal Pharmaceutical Society National Institute for Health Research Royal Society of Medicine Health Technology Assessment Society for Cardiological Science & Programme Technology Society for Vascular Technology Associated Guideline Groups Society of Vascular Nurses National Clinical Guideline Centre **UK Health Forum** United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Associated Public Health Groups Association Public Health England Vascular Society of Great Britain and Public Health Wales NHS Trust Ireland **Others** Department of Health NHS Barking & Dagenham CCG NHS England NHS Walsall CCG Welsh Government

Appendix C

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-companies commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.