NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.355; Edoxaban tosylate for preventing stroke and systemic embolism in people with non-valvular atrial fibrillation

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company/sponsor	General commentators
Daiichi Sankyo UK	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Anticoagulation UK	British National Formulary
Arrhythmia Alliance	Care Quality Commission
Atrial Fibrillation Association	Department of Health, Social Services
Cardiovascular Care Partnership	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Different Strokes	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
HEART UK	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Muslim Council of Britain	Regulatory Agency
 Network of Sikh Organisations 	National Association of Primary Care
Pumping Marvellous Foundation	National Pharmacy Association
Somerville Foundation	NHS Alliance
South Asian Health Foundation	NHS Confederation
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Stroke Association	Welsh Health Specialised Services
Stroke / locoolation	Committee
Professional groups	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology
British Association for Nursing in	Centre
Cardiovascular Care	
British Cardiovascular Society	Comparators
British Geriatrics Society	Bayer (rivaroxaban)
British Heart Foundation	Boehringer Ingelheim (dabigatran
British Heart Rhythm Society	etexilate)
British Society for Haematology	Bristol Myers Squibb (apixaban)
British Society for Haemostasis and	Concordia International (warfarin)
Thrombosis	Pfizer (apixaban)
British Society for Heart Failure	Ranbaxy/Sun Pharmaceutical (warfarin)
British Thoracic Society	Rosemont Pharmaceuticals (warfarin)
Clinical Leaders of Thrombosis	, ,
(CLOT)	Relevant research groups
Royal College of Emergency Medicine	British Society for Cardiovascular
112,5 22232 2. =2.322, 11104101110	December 1000 officiated

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 355; Edoxaban tosylate for preventing stroke and systemic embolism in people with non-valvular atrial fibrillation

Research [BCS affiliated]

© NICE 2018. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

Royal College of General Practitioners

- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Society for Cardiological Science and Technology
- Society for Vascular Nurses
- Society for Vascular Technology
- UK Clinical Pharmacy Association
- UK Health Forum (formerly National Heart Forum (UK))
- Vascular Society of Great Britain and Ireland

Others

- Department of Health and Social Care
- NHS England
- NHS Hounslow CCG
- NHS Sutton CCG
- Welsh Government

- Cardiac and Cardiology Research Dept, Barts
- Circulation Foundation
- Cochrane Heart Group
- Cochrane Stroke Group
- European Council for Cardiovascular Research
- MRC Clinical Trials Unit
- National Centre for Cardiovascular Preventions and Outcomes
- National Heart Research Fund
- National Institute for Health Research
- Wellcome Trust

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.