NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Tolvaptan for treating autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease [ID652]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company(ies)	General
Otsuka Pharmaceuticals (tolvaptan)	 Allied Health Professionals Federation Association of Renal Industries
Patient/carer groups	Board of Community Health Councils in
Afiya Trust	Wales
Black Health Agency	British National Formulary
 British Kidney Patient Association 	Care Quality Commission
 Equalities National Council 	Department of Health, Social Services and
Genetic Alliance UK	Public Safety for Northern Ireland
 Jewish Genetic Disorders UK 	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Kidney Research UK	 Medicines and Healthcare products
 Muslim Council of Britain 	Regulatory Agency
Muslim Health Network	National Association of Primary Care
National Kidney Federation	National Pharmacy Association
PKD Charity	NHS Alliance
Rare Disease UK	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
South Asian Health Foundation	NHS Confederation
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	Scottish Medicines Consortium
	Welsh Kidney Patients Association
Professional groups	Welsh Urological Society
Association of Genetic Nurses and Courses large	Compositor componies
Counsellors	Comparator companies
Association of Renal Technologists	None
British Association of Urological Nurses	Relevant research groups
British Association of Urological Surgeons	Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic
British Geriatrics SocietyBritish Renal Society	Disorders Group
 British Society for Genetic Medicine 	Cochrane Renal Group
 British Society for Histocompatability and 	 Department of Medical and Molecular
Immunogenetics	Genetics, Kings College London
British Society for Human Genetics	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
British Transplantation Society	National Institute for Health Research
Clinical Molecular Genetics Society	
• ESPRIT	Evidence Review Group
Renal Association	Kleijnen Reviews
Royal College of Anaesthetists	National Institute for Health Research
Royal College of General Practitioners	Health Technology Assessment
Royal College of Nursing	Programme
Royal College of Pathologists	
Royal College of Physicians	Associated Quideline Oregon
Royal College of Surgeons	Associated Guideline Groups
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	National Clinical Guidelines Centre

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of tolvaptan for treating autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease [ID652] Issue date: October 2014 Page 1 of 2

٠	Royal Pharmaceutical Society	
•	Royal Society of Medicine	Associated Public Health Groups
•	Society for DGH Nephrologists	Public Health England
•	UK Renal Pharmacy Group	Public Health Wales NHS Trust
•	United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy	
	Association	
_		
•	Urology Foundation	
Others		
•	Department of Health	
•	NHS England	
•	•	
•	NHS Slough	
٠	NHS West Lancashire	
•	Welsh Government	
-		

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that manufactures the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that manufactures the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that manufacture comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for the technology appraisal of tolvaptan for treating autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease [ID652] Issue date: October 2014 Page 3 of 2

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.