NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Tolvaptan for treating autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease [ID652]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators (pre-referral)

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
	appeary
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
 Otsuka Pharmaceuticals (tolvaptan) 	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Detient/earer groups	Association of Renal Industries
Patient/carer groups	Board of Community Health Councils in
Afiya TrustBlack Health Agency	Wales
	British National Formulary
 British Kidney Patient Association British Lung Foundation 	Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Approvale Service
 Cystic Fibrosis Trust 	Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health Social Services and
 Equalities National Council 	 Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Genetic Alliance UK	 Healthcare Improvement Scotland
 Independent Age 	 Medicines and Healthcare products
 Jewish Genetic Disorders UK 	Regulatory Agency
 Kidney Alliance 	 National Association of Primary Care
 Kidney Research UK 	 National Pharmacy Association
Muslim Council of Britain	NHS Alliance
Muslim Health Network	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
National Kidney Federation	NHS Confederation
PKD Group	Public Health England
South Asian Health Foundation	Public Health Wales NHS Trust
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Scottish Medicines Consortium
·	Welsh Kidney Patients Association
Professional groups	Welsh Urological Society
 Association of Genetic Nurses and 	
Counsellors	Possible Comparator Manufacturers
 Association of Renal Technologists 	none
Association of Respiratory Nurse	
Specialists	Relevant research groups
British Association for Services to the	Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic
Elderly	Disorders Group
British Association of Urological Nurses	Cochrane Renal Group Department of Medical and Melocular
British Association of Urological Surgeons	Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Kings College London
British Geriatrics Society	 Genetics, Kings College London Health Research Authority
British Renal Society British Society for Constin Medicine	 Health Research Authority MRC Clinical Trials Unit
British Society for Genetic Medicine British Society for Histocompatibility and	 National Institute for Health Research
 British Society for Histocompatability and Immunogenetics 	 Research Institute for the Care of Older
 British Society for Human Genetics 	People
 British Thoracic Society 	. copio
 Clinical Molecular Genetics Society 	Evidence Review Group
	Evidence Review Group tbc

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of tolvaptan for treating autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease [ID652]

 Primary Care Respiratory Society Renal Association Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicines Society for DGH Nephrologists UK Renal Pharmacy Group United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association Urology Foundation 	 National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Clinical Guidelines Centre <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> tbc
Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Slough NHS West Lancashire Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

<u>Consultees</u>

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland ; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of tolvaptan for treating autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease [ID652]

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.