# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# Single Technology Appraisal

# Idelalisib for treating previously treated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia in people unable to receive intensive cytotoxic chemotherapy [ID764]

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Consultees <u>Company</u> Gilead Sciences (idelalisib) <u>Patient/carer groups</u> Afiya Trust Black Health Agency Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer52 Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support Association Delete Blood Cancer Equalities National Council HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Leukaemia Cancer Society Leukaemia CARE Lymphoma Association Macmillan Cancer Support	
<ul> <li>Maggie's Centres</li> <li>Marie Curie Cancer Care</li> <li>Muslim Council of Britain</li> <li>Muslim Health Network</li> <li>Rarer Cancers Foundation</li> <li>South Asian Health Foundation</li> <li>Specialised Healthcare Alliance</li> <li>Tenovus</li> <li>United Kingdom Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>GlaxoSmithKline (chlorambucil, ofatumumab)</li> <li>Napp Pharmaceuticals (bendamustine)</li> <li>Pfizer (cyclophosphamide)</li> <li>Roche Products (rituximab, obinutuzumab)</li> <li><u>Relevant research groups</u></li> </ul>
<ul> <li><u>Professional groups</u></li> <li>Association of Cancer Physicians</li> <li>British Blood Transfusion Society</li> <li>British Committee for Standards in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group</li> <li>Elimination of Leukaemia Fund</li> <li>Institute of Cancer Research</li> <li>Leuka</li> </ul>

### Matrix of consultees and commentators

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for technology appraisal of idelalisib for relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukaemia Issue date: December 2014

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul> <li>Haematology</li> <li>British Geriatrics Society</li> <li>British Institute of Radiology</li> <li>British Psychosocial Oncology Society</li> <li>British Society for Haematology</li> <li>Cancer Research UK</li> <li>National Blood Service</li> <li>NHS Blood &amp; Transplant</li> <li>Royal College of General Practitioners</li> <li>Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>Royal College of Physicians</li> <li>Royal College of Radiologists</li> <li>Royal College of Radiologists</li> <li>Royal College of Medicine</li> <li>Society and College of Radiography</li> <li>UK Clinical Pharmacy Association</li> <li>UK Health Forum</li> <li>UK Oncology Nursing Society</li> </ul> Others <ul> <li>Department of Health</li> <li>NHS Ealing CCG</li> <li>NHS South Cheshire CCG</li> <li>Welsh Government</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Leukaemia Busters</li> <li>Leukaemia &amp; Lymphoma Research</li> <li>MRC Clinical Trials Unit</li> <li>National Cancer Research Institute</li> <li>National Cancer Research Network</li> <li>National Institute for Health Research</li> <li>Evidence Review Group</li> <li>Warwick evidence</li> <li>National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme</li> <li>Associated Guideline Groups</li> <li>National Collaborating Centre for Cancer</li> <li>Associated Public Health Groups</li> <li>Public Health England</li> <li>Public Health Wales</li> </ul>

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

## PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

# **Definitions:**

## **Consultees**

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the Company(ies) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The Company/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

## **Commentators**

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

### Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.