NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Idelalisib for treating relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukaemia

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

I

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of idelalisib for relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukaemia Issue date: June 2014 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology British Transplantation Society Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK National Blood Service NHS Blood & Transplant Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiography UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS Ealing CCG NHS England NHS South Cheshire CCG Welsh Government 	 National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Research Institute for the Care of Older People Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Collaborating Centre for Cancer <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

<u>Consultees</u>

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.