NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.369; Ciclosporin for treating dry eye disease which has not improved after treatment with artificial tears

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company/sponsor	General commentators
Santen (Ciclosporin Ikervis)	 All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Action for Blind People	Board of Community Health Councils in
Eyecare Trust	Wales
Fight for Sight	British National Formulary
Macular Society	Care Quality Commission
Muslim Council of Britain	Department of Health, Social Services
National Federation of the Blind of the	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
UK	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
OBAC (Organisation of Blind African	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Caribbeans)	Regulatory Agency
 Royal National Institute of Blind 	 National Association of Primary Care
People (RNIB)	 National Pharmacy Association
SeeAbility	NHS Alliance
Sense	NHS Confederation
 South Asian Health Foundation 	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Wales Council for the Blind
 Thomas Pocklington Trust 	Welsh Health Specialised Services
	Committee
Professional groups	
Association of Optometrists	<u>Comparators</u>
British and Irish Orthoptic Society	Allergan (carmellose sodium,
British Geriatrics Society	fluorometholone, polyvinyl alcohol,
British Opthalmic Anaesthesia Society	prednisolone)
(BOAS)	Aspire Pharma Ltd (dexamethasone, Aspire Pharma Ltd (dexameth
College of Optometrists	sodium cromoglicate)
Optical Confederation	Bausch & Lomb U.K Limited (prodpicelene devempthesene)
Oxford Eye Foundation	(prednisolone, dexamethasone,
Royal College of General Practitioners	hypromellose, sodium cromoglicate)
Royal College of Nursing	 FDC International (hypromellose, sodium cromoglicate)
Royal College of Ophthalmologists	La sicus Dhamas a Calertia na Ltal /a a dicusa
Royal College of Pathologists	Logixx Pharma Solutions Ltd (sodium hyaluronate)
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	Mantin dala (la mana alla a a)
Royal College of Physicians	Iviartingale (nypromellose)
Royal Society of Medicine	

NICE Technology Appraisal No.369; Ciclosporin for treating dry eye disease which has not improved after treatment with artificial tears

Page 1 of 3

UK Clinical Pharmacy Association

Others

- Department of Health and Social Care
- NHS Darlington CCG
- NHS England
- NHS Harrogate and Rural District CCG
- Welsh Government

- Novartis (dexamethasone, hypromellose)
- Rayner Pharmaceuticals Limited (acetylcysteine, dexamethasone, sodium cromoglicate)
- Reckitt Benckiser Healthcare (UK) Ltd (sodium cromoglicate)
- RPH Pharmaceuticals AB (prednisolone)
- Sanofi (dexamethasone, sodium cromoglicate)

Relevant research groups

- Cochrane Eyes and Vision Group
- Eye Hope
- Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London
- MRC Clinical Trials Unit
- National Eye Research Centre
- National Institute for Health Research

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.