NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.370; Bortezomib for untreated mantle cell lymphoma

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company/sponsor	General commentators
 Janssen-Cilag (bortezomib) <u>Patient/carer groups</u> African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust 	 Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
(ACLT)	British National FormularyCare Quality Commission
Anthony Nolan	Department of Health, Social Services
BloodwiseCancer Black Care	and Public Safety for Northern IrelandHealthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer EqualityCancer52	 Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency
DKMSHAWC	National Association of Primary CareNational Pharmacy Association
Helen Rollason Cancer CharityIndependent Cancer Patients Voice	NHS AllianceNHS Confederation
 Leukaemia Cancer Society Leukaemia CARE Lymphome Action 	 Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Lymphoma Action Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres 	 All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Marie CurieMuslim Council of Britain	Comparators
 South Asian Health Foundation 	Aspen (chlorambucil)
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care 	 Accord Healthcare (bendamustine, cytarabine, doxorubicin, fludarabine) Baxter Healthcare (cyclophosphamide)
Professional groups	 Dr Reddy's Laboratories (bendamustine)
 Association of Cancer Physicians British Committee for Standards in 	 Hospira (cytarabine, vincristine)
 British Committee for Standards in Haematology (BCSH) 	• medac UK (bendamustine, doxorubicin)
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society (BPOS) 	 Napp Pharmaceuticals (bendamustine, rituximab)
British Society for Haematology	Pfizer (cytarabine, doxorubicin)
 British Geriatrics Society Cancer Research UK 	Roche Products (rituximab)Sandoz (cyclophosphamide,
 Cancer Research OK Royal College of General Practitioners 	fludarabine, rituximab)

 Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal Society of Medicine 	 Seacross Pharmaceuticals (doxorubicin) Zentiva (bendamustine)
 Royal Society of Medicine UK Clinical Pharmacy Association Royal Pharmaceutical Society UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 <u>Relevant research groups</u> Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Institute of Cancer Research Leuka
 <u>Others</u> Department of Health and Social Care NHS England Welsh Government NHS Vale Royal CCG NHS Leeds North CCG 	 Leukaemia Busters Lymphoma Research Trust National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research
	 <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal.

These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.