## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# **Health Technology Appraisal**

# Etanercept, abatacept, adalimumab and tocilizumab for treating juvenile idiopathic arthritis (including review of TA35)

# **Matrix of consultees and commentators**

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
AbbVie	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Bristol Myers Squibb	Board of Community Health Councils in
Pfizer	Wales
<ul> <li>Roche Products</li> </ul>	British National Formulary
	Care Quality Commission
Patient/carer groups	Department of Health, Social Services
Action for Children	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
<ul> <li>Action for Sick Children</li> </ul>	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Action on Pain	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Afiya Trust	Regulatory Agency
Arthritic Association	National Association of Primary Care
Arthritis Care	National Pharmacy Association
Arthritis and Musculoskeletal	NHS Alliance
Alliance	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Black Health Agency	NHS Confederation
Children's Chronic Arthritis     Association	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Association	Comparator manufacturors
Children's Society     Dischility Rights LIK	Comparator manufacturers  None
Disability Rights UK     Equalities National Council	None
<ul><li>Equalities National Council</li><li>Leonard Cheshire Disability</li></ul>	Relevant research groups
Muslim Council of Britain	Arthritis Research UK
Muslim Health Network	Bone Research Society
National Children's Bureau	Chronic Pain Policy Coalition
National Parent Partnership	Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group
Network	Health Research Authority
National Rheumatoid Arthritis	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Society	National Institute for Health Research
Pain Concern	Society for Back Pain Research
Pain Relief Foundation	
Pain UK	Assessment Group
South Asian Health Foundation	School of Health and Related Research,
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	University of Sheffield
Wellchild	National Institute for Health Research     Health Technology Assessment

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of abatacept, adalimumab, etanercept and tocilizumab for treating juvenile idiopathic arthritis (including review of TA35) [ID783]

Issue date: November 2014 Page 1 of 2

## Professional groups

- British Health Professionals in Rheumatology
- British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine
- British League Against Rheumatism
- British Orthopaedic Association
- British Pain Society
- British Society for Children's Orthopaedic Surgery
- British Society for Paediatric and Adolescent Rheumatology
- British Society for Rheumatology
- Chartered Society of Physiotherapy
- Primary Care Rheumatology Society
- Physiotherapy Pain Association
- Rheumatoid Arthritis Surgical Society
- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Paediatrics & Child Health
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal College of Surgeons of England
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association

#### Others

- Cardiff and Vale University Health Board
- Department of Health
- NHS England
- NHS South West Lincolnshire CCG
- Welsh Government

### Programme

# Associated Guideline Groups

Internal Clinical Guidelines

# Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of abatacept, adalimumab, etanercept and tocilizumab for treating juvenile idiopathic arthritis (including review of TA35) [ID783]

Issue date: November 2014 Page 2 of 2

those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: November 2014 Page 3 of 2

#### **Definitions:**

## Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

## Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

#### Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.

Issue date: November 2014 Page 4 of 2