NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.373; Abatacept, adalimumab, etanercept and tocilizumab for treating juvenile idiopathic arthritis

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company/sponsor	General commentators
AbbVie (Adalimumab)	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology
Bristol-Myers Squibb (Abatacept)	Centre
Pfizer (Etanercept)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Roche Products (Tocilizumab)	Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	 British National Formulary
Action on Pain	Care Quality Commission
Arthritis Action	Department of Health, Social Services
Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Alliance	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Arthritis Research UK	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Disability Rights UK	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Leonard Cheshire Disability	Regulatory Agency
Muslim Council of Britain	 National Association of Primary Care
National Rheumatoid Arthritis Society	 National Pharmacy Association
Pain Concern	NHS Alliance
Pain Relief Foundation	NHS Confederation
Pain UK	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis	 Welsh Health Specialised Services
Alliance	Committee
Psoriasis Association	
Psoriasis Help Organisation	<u>Comparators</u>
South Asian Health Foundation	 Accord (methotrexate)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	 Amgen (adalimumab)
·	 Biogen Idec (adalimumab, etanercept)
Professional groups	 Concordia International (methotrexate)
British Association of Dermatologists	 Hospira (methotrexate)
British Dermatological Nursing Group	 Medac GmbH (methotrexate)
British Institute of Musculoskeletal	 Morningside Healthcare (methotrexate)
Medicine	Mylan (adalimumab)
British Orthopaedic Association	 Nordic Pharma (methotrexate)
British Skin Foundation	 Orion Pharma (methotrexate)
British Society for Rheumatology	 Pfizer (methotrexate)
British Society of Rehabilitation	 Sandoz (adalimumab, etanercept,
Medicine	methotrexate)
Primary Care Dermatology Society	 Teva (methotrexate)

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 373; Abatacept, adalimumab, etanercept and tocilizumab for treating juvenile idiopathic arthritis

- Primary Care Rheumatology Society
- Rheumatoid Arthritis Surgical Society
- Physiotherapy Pain Association
- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal Society of Medicine
- UK Clinical Pharmacy Association

Others

- Department of Health and Social Care
- NHS Blackpool CCG
- NHS England
- NHS North Tyneside CCG
- Welsh Government

Therakind (methotrexate)

Relevant research groups

- Bone Research Society
- British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society
- Centre of Evidence-Based Dermatology, University of Nottingham
- Chronic Pain Policy Coalition
- Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group
- Cochrane Skin Group
- MRC Clinical Trials Unit
- Orthopaedic Research UK
- National Institute for Health Research
- Skin Treatment and Research Trust

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee