NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Panobinostat for treating multiple myeloma in people who have received at least 1 prior therapy

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 <u>Company</u> Novartis Pharmaceuticals (panobinostat) <u>Patient/carer group</u> Leukaemia CARE Myeloma UK <u>Professional groups</u> Association of Cancer Physicians British Society for Haematology Cancer Research UK Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians UK Myeloma Forum <u>Others</u> Department of Health NHS Canterbury and Coastal CCG NHS Isle of Wight CCG Welsh Government 	 <u>General</u> Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland <u>Comparator Companies</u> Accord Healthcare (doxorubicin) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Actavis (doxorubicin) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Aspen (melphalan, dexamethasone) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Baxter (cyclophosphamide) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Baxter (cyclophosphamide) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Celgene (lenalidomide, thalidomide) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Celgene (lenalidomide, thalidomide) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Hameln Pharmaceuticals (dexamethasone) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Hospira (dexamethasone, vincristine) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Janssen (bortezomib, doxorubicin) Medac GmbH (doxorubicin) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Pfizer (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Pfizer (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin) Medac GmbH (doxorubicin) Medac GmbH (doxorubicin) Medac GmbH (doxorubicin) Pfizer (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin) Pfizer (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin) Pfizer (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin) Pfizer (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin) Mesemont Pharmaceuticals

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the appraisal of panobinostat for treating multiple myeloma in people who have received at least 1 prior therapy

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
	 (dexamethasone) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Teva UK (vincristine) (Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating)
	<u>Relevant research groups</u>The Institute of Cancer Research
	 Evidence Review Group Peninsula Technology Assesment Group, University of Exeter (PenTAG)National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme
	 <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Collaborating Centre for Cancer
	<u>Associated Public Health Groups</u>None

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to submit a statement, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.