NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.383; TNF inhibitors for treating ankylosing spondylitis and axial spondyloarthritis without radiographic evidence of ankylosing spondylitis

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company/sponsor	General commentatorsAll Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology
AbbVie (adalimumab)Amgen (adalimumab)	Centre
 Biogen (adalimumab, etanercept, 	Allied Health Professionals Federation
infliximab)	Board of Community Health Councils in
Generics UK T/A Mylan (adalimumab)	Wales
Merck Sharp & Dohme UK	British National Formulary
(golimumab, infliximab)	Care Quality Commission
 Napp Pharmaceuticals (infliximab) 	Department of Health, Social Services
 Pfizer (etanercept, infliximab) 	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
 Sandoz (adalimumab, etanercept) 	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
 UCB Pharma (certolizumab pegol) 	Medicines and Healthcare Products
	Regulatory Agency
Patient/carer groups	National Association of Primary Care
Action on Pain	National Pharmacy Association
Arthritis Action Arthritis and Museulastal Alliance	NHS Alliance NHS Confederation
Arthritis and Musculoskeletal AllianceBackcare	NHS ConfederationScottish Medicines Consortium
D : 10 : E 1 ::	Scottish Medicines ConsortiumWelsh Health Specialised Services
Brain and Spine FoundationDisability Rights UK	Committee
 Leonard Cheshire Disability 	Committee
Muslim Council of Britain	Comparators
National Ankylosing Spondylitis	Lilly UK (ixekizumab)
Society	Novartis (secukinumab)
Pain Concern	·
Pain Relief Foundation	Relevant research groups
Pain UK	Bone Research Society
South Asian Health Foundation	Chronic Pain Policy Coalition
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group
Versus Arthritis	Genomics England MDC Official Trial Life
	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
	Onnopaedic Research UK
	Associated Public Health Groups
	-
 Professional groups British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine British Orthopaedic Association 	 National Institute for Health Research Orthopaedic Research UK Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 383; TNF inhibitors for treating ankylosing spondylitis and axial spondyloarthritis without radiographic evidence of ankylosing spondylitis

Issue date: February 2019 Page 1 of 3

APPENDIX B

- British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine
- Chartered Society for Physiotherapy
- College of Occupational Therapists
- Physiotherapy Pain Association
- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association

Others

- Department of Health and Social Care
- NHS England
- NHS Shropshire CCG
- NHS Nottingham City CCG
- Welsh Government

Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 383; TNF inhibitors for treating ankylosing spondylitis and axial spondyloarthritis without radiographic evidence of ankylosing spondylitis Issue date: February 2019

Pag

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Page 3 of 3