## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## **Review Proposal Project**

# NICE Technology Appraisal No.386; Ruxolitinib for treating disease-related splenomegaly or symptoms in adults with myelofibrosis

## Stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company/sponsor	General commentators
Novartis (ruxolitinib)	<ul> <li>All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre</li> </ul>
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals Federation
<ul><li>ACLT</li><li>Anthony Nolan</li></ul>	Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Black Health Agency	British National Formulary     One Control of the Communications
Bloodwise	Care Quality Commission
Cancer Black Care	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Equality	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
• Cancer52	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
• DKMS	Medicines and Healthcare Products
<ul> <li>Helen Rollason Cancer Charity</li> </ul>	Regulatory Agency
<ul> <li>Independent Cancer Patients Voice</li> </ul>	National Association of Primary Care
<ul> <li>Leukaemia Cancer Society</li> </ul>	National Pharmacy Association
<ul> <li>Leukaemia CARE</li> </ul>	NHS Alliance
<ul> <li>Lymphoma Action</li> </ul>	NHS Confederation
<ul> <li>Macmillan Cancer Support</li> </ul>	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Maggie's Centres	Welsh Health Specialised Services
Marie Curie	Committee
MPN Voice	
Muslim Council of Britain	Comparators
South Asian Health Foundation	Alan Pharmaceuticals (thalidomide)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Bristol-Myers Squibb
Tenovus Cancer Care	(hydroxycarbamide)
remerae cames. Can	Celgene (lenalidomide, thalidomide)
Professional groups	Medac UK (hydroxycarbamide)
Association of Cancer Physicians	Nordic (hydoxycarbamide)
British Blood Transfusion Society	
British Committee for Standards in	Relevant research groups
Haematology	Cochrane Haematological Malignancies
British Geriatrics Society	Group
<ul> <li>British Genatics Society</li> <li>British Psychosocial Oncology Society</li> </ul>	Genomics England
<ul> <li>British Psychosocial Oncology Society</li> <li>British Society for Haematology</li> </ul>	Institute of Cancer Research
<ul> <li>Cancer Research UK</li> </ul>	Leuka
	Leukaemia Busters
<ul> <li>Royal College of General Practitioners</li> </ul>	MRC Clinical Trials Unit

Royal College of Nursing

MRC Clinical Trials Unit

- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- UK Clinical Pharmacy Association
- UK Health Forum
- UK Oncology Nursing Society

#### Others

- Department of Health and Social Care
- NHS Bradford City CCG
- NHS Bolton CCG
- NHS England
- Welsh Government

- National Cancer Research Institute
- National Cancer Research Network
- National Institute for Health Research

## Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

#### **Definitions**

#### Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

#### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.