NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Ceritinib for previously treated anaplastic-lymphoma-kinase-positive non-small-cell lung cancer [ID729]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Novartis Pharmaceuticals (ceritinib)	 Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Patient/carer groups	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	
Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation	Comparator company(ies)
	None
Professional groups	
British Thoracic Society	Relevant research groups
National Lung Cancer Forum for	
Nurses	Evidence Review Group
 Royal College of Nursing 	Warwick Evidence
 Royal College of Physicians 	Associated Quidalias Oraura
	Associated Guideline Groups
<u>Others</u>	National Collaborating Centre for
 Department of Health 	Cancer
NHS England	Associated Dublic Lingth Crowns
 NHS Newbury and District CCG 	Associated Public Health Groups
NHS Rotherham CCG	•
Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.