NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Trametinib in combination with dabrafenib for treating advanced (unresectable or metastatic) BRAF V600 mutation-positive melanoma

Matrix of consultees and commentators

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of trametinib in combination with dabrafenib for treating advanced (unresectable or metastatic) BRAF V600 mutation-positive melanoma [ID661]

Issue date: October 2015 Page 1 of 4

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Royal College of General Practitioners	
Royal College of Nursing	
Royal College of Pathologists	
Royal College of Physicians	
Royal College of Radiologists	
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	
Royal Society of Medicine	
 Society and College of Radiographers 	
UK Clinical Pharmacy Association	
UK Health Forum	
UK Oncology Nursing Society	
<u>Others</u>	
Department of Health	
NHS Aylesbury Vale CCG	
NHS Coastal West Sussex CCG	
NHS England	
Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: October 2015 Page 2 of 4

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
Matrix for the technology appraisal of trametinib in combination with dabrafenib for treating advanced
(unresectable or metastatic) BRAF V600 mutation-positive melanoma [ID661]
Issue date: October 2015

Page 3 of 4

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

Appendix C