## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

#### HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

#### **Equality impact assessment – Guidance development**

# STA Ramucirumab for previously treated locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

principles of the NICE equality scheme.  Consultation		
No p	otential equalities issues have been raised.	
2.	Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?	
No		
3.	Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?	
No		
4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice	

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No		
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?	
No		
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?	
Not a	pplicable	
7.	Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?	
Not applicable		
Approved by Associate Director (name): Helen Knight		
Date: 12/04/2016		

### Final appraisal determination

(when an ACD issued)

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

No

2.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
NA	
3.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
NA	
4.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
NA	
5.	Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Approved by Centre or Programme Director (name): Meindert Boysen

Not required as no equalities issues raised

Date: 29/06/2016