NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Ramucirumab for previously treated locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer [ID838]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Eli Lilly (ramucirumab)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Patient/carer groups	Board of Community Health Councils
Afiya Trust	in Wales
Black Health Agency	British National Formulary
British Lung Foundation	Care Quality Commission
Cancer Black Care	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Equality	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Equalities National Council	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
• HAWC	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	Regulatory Agency
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	National Association of Primary Care
Macmillan Cancer Support	National Pharmacy Association
Maggie's Centres	NHS Alliance
Marie Curie Cancer Care	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Muslim Council of Britain	NHS Confederation
Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation	Scottish Medicines Consortium
South Asian Health Foundation	Dessible comparator companies
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Possible comparator companies
Tenovus	Accord Healthcare (docetaxel)
UK Lung Cancer Coalition	Actavis UK (docetaxel)
	Boehringer Ingelheim (nintedanib)
Professional groups	Dr. Reddy's Laboratories (docetaxel)
Association of Cancer Physicians	Hospira UK (docetaxel)
Association of Respiratory Nurse	medac GmbH (docetaxel)
Specialists	Roche Products (erlotinib)
British Geriatrics Society	Sanofi (docetaxel)
British Institute of Radiology	Polovent research groups
British Psychosocial Oncology Society	Relevant research groups
British Thoracic Oncology Group	 Cochrane Lung Cancer Group Institute of Cancer Research
British Thoracic Society	
Cancer Research UK	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
National Lung Cancer Forum for Nurses	National Cancer Research Institute
Royal College of General Practitioners	National Cancer Research Network

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed single technology appraisal of ramucirumab for previously treated locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer [ID838]

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS Corby CCG NHS England NHS Erewash CCG Welsh Government 	 National Institute for Health Research Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Collaborating Centre for Cancer <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed single technology appraisal of ramucirumab for previously treated locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer [ID838]

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.