NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA Crizotinib for previously untreated anaplastic lymphoma kinase-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?

The following potential equality issues were identified during the scoping process:

- That testing could be restricted to people with a diagnosis of adenocarcinoma.
- That there could be inequitable access if regional variations in ALKmutation testing exist.

The potential equality issues identified during the scoping process have been noted by the Committee. None of these issues related to protected characteristics, as defined by the Equalities Act, and so were not considered equality issues.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

No potential equality issues were raised in the submissions, expert

statements or ERG report. 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these? No potential equality issues were identified by the committee. 4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group? No. 5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? No. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee 6. could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in guestions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's

obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable.

7. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?

The Committee's considerations of equality issues are included in the summary table at the end of the appraisal consultation document.

Approved by Associate Director (name):Melinda Goodal	II
Date: 20/05/2016	

Final appraisal determination

(when an ACD issued)

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

No potential equality issues were identified during consultation.

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Not applicable.

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable.

Issue date: May 2016

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable.

5. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

The Committee's considerations of equality issues are included in the summary table at the end of the appraisal consultation document.

Approved by Centre or Programme Director (name): Meindert Boysen

Date: 29/07/2016