NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Crizotinib for previously untreated anaplastic lymphoma kinase-positive non-small cell-lung cancer [ID865]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company Pfizer (crizotinib) Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency British Lung Foundation Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus UK Lung Cancer Coalition Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Thoracic Oncology Group British Thoracic Society Cancer Research UK National Lung Cancer Forum for Nurses Primary Care Respiratory Society UK	, <u> </u>
Royal College of General Practitioners	Sun Pharmaceuticals (carboplatin,

Issue date: August 2015 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Bradford Districts CCG NHS Brent CCG Welsh Government 	Relevant research groups Cochrane Lung Cancer Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: August 2015 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of crizotinib for previously untreated anaplastic lymphoma kinase-positive non-small-cell lung cancer [ID865]

Issue date: August 2015 Page 3 of 3

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.