NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Pegaspargase for treating acute lymphoblastic leukaemia [ID863]

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for proposed technology appraisal of pegaspargase for treating acute lymphoblastic leukaemia [ID863]

Together for Short Lives

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Tenovus Cancer Care <u>Professional groups</u> Association of Cancer Physicians British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiography UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Lincolnshire West CCG NHS Mansfield & Ashfield CCG Welsh Government 	 Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for proposed technology appraisal of pegaspargase for treating acute lymphoblastic leukaemia [ID863]

Definitions:

<u>Consultees</u>

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for proposed technology appraisal of pegaspargase for treating acute lymphoblastic leukaemia [ID863]