NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.408; Pegaspargase for treating acute lymphoblastic leukaemia

Stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Company/sponsor Servier Laboratories Ltd (pegaspargase) Patient/carer groups Action for Sick Children African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust Anthony Nolan Black Health Agency Bloodwise 	 General commentators All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
 Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer52 Childhood Cancer Parents Alliance Children with Cancer UK Children's Cancer and Leukaemia Group Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support Association CLIC Sargent DKMS HAWC 	 Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Leukaemia Cancer Society Leukaemia CARE Lymphoma Association Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain National Children's Bureau Rarer Cancers Foundation South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Teenage Cancer Trust 	 Comparators Medac GmbH (Escherechia coli derived L-asparaginase) Porton Biopharma (formally Public Health Englan) (Erwinia chrysanthemi derived L-asparaginase [crisantaspase]) Relevant research groups Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters

NICE Technology Appraisal No.408; Pegaspargase for treating acute lymphoblastic leukaemia Issue date: July 2019

- Tenovus Cancer Care
- Together for Short Lives

Professional groups

- Association of Cancer Physicians
- British Committee for Standards in Haematology
- British Geriatrics Society
- British Institute of Radiology
- British Psychosocial Oncology Society
- British Society for Haematology
- Cancer Research UK
- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal College of Radiologists
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Society and College of Radiography
- UK Clinical Pharmacy Association
- UK Health Forum
- UK Oncology Nursing Society

Others

- Department of Health and Social Care
- NHS England
- NHS Southwark CCG
- NHS South Warwickshire CCG
- Welsh Government

- MRC Clinical Trials Unit
- National Cancer Research Institute
- National Cancer Research Network
- National Institute for Health Research

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.