NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Certolizumab pegol for treating rheumatoid arthritis following inadequate response to a TNF inhibitor [ID824]

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|--|---|
| Consultees <u>Company(ies)</u> UCB Pharma (certolizumab pegol) <u>Patient/carer groups</u> Action on Pain Afiya Trust Arthritis Action Arthritis & Musculoskeletal Alliance (ARMA) Arthritis Care BackCare Black Health Agency Disability Rights UK Equalities National Council Leonard Cheshire Disability Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network National Rheumatoid Arthritis Society | |
| Pain Concern Pain Relief Foundation Pain UK South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance | Scottish Medicines Consortium <u>Possible comparator companies</u> <u>AbbVie (adalimumab)</u> Bristol-Myers Squibb (abatacept) Merck Sharp & Dohme (golimumab, |
| Professional groups British Geriatrics Society British Health Professionals in Rheumatology British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine British Orthopaedic Association British Pain Society British Society for Paediatric and Adolescent Rheumatology British Society for Rheumatology | infliximab) Pfizer (etanercept) Roche (rituximab, tocilizumab) <u>Relevant research groups</u> Arthritis Research UK Chronic Pain Policy Coalition Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research |

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of certolizumab pegol for treating rheumatoid arthritis following inadequate response to a TNF inhibitor [ID824] Issue date: January 2014

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|---|---|
| Medicine Physiotherapy Pain Association Primary Care Rheumatology Society Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine UK Clinical Pharmacy Association <u>Others</u> Department of Health NHS England NHS South Eastern Hampshire CCG NHS South Kent Coast CCG Welsh Government | <u>Evidence Review Group</u> Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Clinical Guidelines Centre <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales |

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.