NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA Ticagrelor for secondary prevention of atherothrombotic events after myocardial infarction

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

No potential equality issues were identified during the scoping process.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

The UKCPA commented that the trial excludes those patients with a previous stroke, GI bleed or need for anticoagulation - this is not representative of practice - should these patients present with a further ischaemic event they would still require treatment.

No further equality issues identified.

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No further equality issues identified

Issue date: October 2016

4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?			
Not a	applicable.			
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?			
Not a	applicable.			
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?			
Not a	applicable.			
7.	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?			
The committee did not identify any equality issues.				
• •	oved by Associate Director (name):Frances Sutcliffe			

2 of 4

Issue date: October 2016

Final appraisal determination

(when an ACD issued)					
1.	Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?				
No is	sues have been raised during the committee meeting.				
2.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?				
No					
3.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?				
No					
4.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?				
No					
5	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been				

described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Issue date: October 2016

Not applicable		

Approved by Centre or Programme Director (name): Meindert Boysen

Date: 28/10/2016

Issue date: October 2016

Technology appraisals: Guidance development
Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal ticagrelor for secondary prevention of atherothrombotic events after myocardial infarction

4 of 4 4 of 4