## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# **Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)**

# Pertuzumab for the neoadjuvant treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer [ID767]

## Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Roche (Pertuzumab)	Allied Health Professionals     Federation
Patient/carer groups	<ul> <li>Federation</li> <li>Board of Community Health Councils in Wales</li> <li>British National Formulary</li> <li>Care Quality Commission</li> <li>Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland</li> <li>Healthcare Improvement Scotland</li> <li>Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency</li> <li>National Association for Primary Care</li> <li>National Pharmacy Association</li> <li>NHS Alliance</li> <li>NHS Commercial Medicines Unit</li> <li>NHS Confederation</li> <li>Scottish Medicines Consortium</li> <li>Possible comparator companies</li> <li>Accord Healthcare (Docetaxel)</li> <li>Actavis UK (Docetaxel)</li> <li>Hospira UK (Docetaxel)</li> <li>medac GmbH (Docetaxel)</li> <li>Roche (Trastuzumab)</li> <li>Sanofi (Docetaxel)</li> <li>Teva UK (Docetaxel)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Association of Cancer Physicians</li> <li>Association of Surgeons of Great Britain &amp; Ireland</li> <li>British Association of Surgical Oncology</li> <li>British Geriatrics Society</li> </ul>	Relevant research groups <ul><li>Against Breast Cancer</li><li>Breast Cancer Hope</li><li>Breast Cancer Research Trust</li></ul>

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of pertuzumab for the neoadjuvant treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer [ID767]

Issue date: June 2015 Page 1 of 3

#### Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) British Institute of Radiology **Cochrane Breast Cancer Group** British Psychosocial Oncology Society Institute of Cancer Research Cancer Research UK MRC Clinical Trials Unit Royal College of Anaesthetists National Cancer Research Institute Royal College of General Practitioners National Cancer Research Network Royal College of Nursing National Institute for Health Research Royal College of Pathologists Pro-Cancer Research Fund Royal College of Physicians **Evidence Review Group** Royal College of Radiologists Evidence Review Group tbc Royal College of Surgeons National Institute for Health Research Royal Pharmaceutical Society Health Technology Assessment Royal Society of Medicine Programme Society and College of Radiographers **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association Associated Guideline Groups UK Health Forum** National Collaborating Centre for **UK Oncology Nursing Society** Cancer Others Associated Public Health Groups Department of Health Public Health England NHS England Public Health Wales NHS Hillingdon CCG NHS Rushcliffe CCG Welsh Government

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

#### PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

#### **Definitions:**

#### Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of pertuzumab for the neoadjuvant treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer [ID767]

Issue date: June 2015 Page 2 of 3

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

#### **Commentators**

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

#### Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of pertuzumab for the neoadjuvant treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer [ID767]

Issue date: June 2015 Page 3 of 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.