NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Elotuzumab for previously treated multiple myeloma [ID855]

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	,
CompanyBristol-Myers Squibb (elotuzumab)	GeneralAllied Health Professionals Federation
Briotor Myoro equipo (orotazamas)	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer group	Wales
Black Health Agency	British National Formulary
BloodwiseCancer Black Care	Care Quality Commission
Cancer Equality	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer52	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Delete Blood Cancer	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
• HAWC	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	Regulatory Agency
Independent Cancer Patients' Voice	National Association of Primary Care
Leukaemia Cancer Society	National Pharmacy Association
Leukaemia CARE	NHS Alliance NHS Commonsiol Madiaires Unit
Macmillan Cancer Support Magning Contract	NHS Commercial Medicines UnitNHS Confederation
Maggie's Centres Maria Curia Canaar Cara	NHS ConfederationScottish Medicines Consortium
Marie Curie Cancer CareMuslim Council of Britain	Scottish Medicines Consolitain
Muslim Council of BritainMyeloma UK	Possible comparator companies
Rarer Cancers Foundation	Celgene (lenalidomide)
South Asian Health Foundation	Hameln Pharmaceuticals
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	(dexamethasone)
Tenovus	Hospira (dexamethasone)
10110100	Janssen (bortezomib)
Professional groups	Novartis (panobinostat)
Association of Cancer Physicians	Rosemont Pharmaceuticals
British Committee for Standards in	(dexamethasone)
Haematology	Delevent recently exercise
British Society for Haematology	Relevant research groups
British Geriatrics Society	Clinical Trials Research Unit Cookrana Haamatalagiaal Malignanaiaa
British Psychosocial Oncology Society	 Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group
Cancer Research UK	Elimination of Leukaemia Fund
Royal College of General Practitioners Page 1 College of Number 2	Institute of Cancer Research
Royal College of Nursing Payal College of Pathologists	Leuka
Royal College of Pathologists	Louita

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final matrix for the single technology appraisal of elotuzumab for previously treated multiple myeloma [ID855]

Issue date: December 2015

Page 2 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Myeloma Forum 	 Leukaemia Busters MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research
 UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Airedale, Wharfedale and Craven CCG NHS Leeds West CCG Welsh Government 	 Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme
	Associated Guideline GroupsNational Collaborating Centre for Cancer
	Associated Public Health GroupsPublic Health EnglandPublic Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: December 2015

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

Issue date: December 2015 Page 3 of 3

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.