NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Elotuzumab for previously treated multiple myeloma [ID855]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company	General
Bristol-Myers Squibb (elotuzumab)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
2.16.6. myoro equilibri (erotazarrias)	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer group	Wales
Afiya Trust	British National Formulary
Black Health Agency	Care Quality Commission
Cancer Black Care	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Equality	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer52	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Equalities National Council	Medicines and Healthcare Products
• HAWC	Regulatory Agency
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	National Association of Primary Care
Independent Cancer Patients' Voice	National Pharmacy Association
Leukaemia Cancer Society	NHS Alliance
Leukaemia CARE	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Macmillan Cancer Support	NHS Confederation
Maggie's Centres	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Marie Curie Cancer Care Marilia Canadi of Britain	Possible comparator companies
Muslim Council of Britain Muslame LIK	 Accord Healthcare (doxorubicin)
Myeloma UKRarer Cancers Foundation	Actavis UK (doxorubicin)
Rarer Cancers FoundationSouth Asian Health Foundation	Aspen (melphalan)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Baxter (cyclophosphamide)
Tenovus	Celgene (lenalidomide, pomalidomide,
Toriovas	thalidomide)
Professional groups	Cephalon (doxorubicin)
Association of Cancer Physicians	Hameln Pharmaceuticals
British Committee for Standards in	(dexamethasone)
Haematology	Hospira (dexamethasone, vincristine)
British Society for Haematology	Janssen (bortezomib, doxorubicin)
British Geriatrics Society	medac GmbH (doxorubicin)
British Psychosocial Oncology Society	Napp pharmaceuticals (bendamustine)
Cancer Research UK	Pfizer (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin)
Royal College of General Practitioners	Rosemont Pharmaceuticals
Royal College of Nursing	(dexamethasone)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed single technology appraisal of elotuzumab for previously treated multiple myeloma [ID855]

Issue date: May 2015 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Myeloma Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Airedale, Wharfedale and Craven CCG NHS Leeds West CCG Welsh Government 	 Teva UK (vincristine) Relevant research groups Clinical Trials Research Unit Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Elimination of Leukaemia Fund Institute of Cancer Research Leukaemia and Lymphoma Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed single technology appraisal of elotuzumab for previously treated multiple myeloma [ID855]

Issue date: May 2015 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed single technology appraisal of elotuzumab for previously treated multiple myeloma [ID855]

Issue date: May 2015 Page 3 of 3

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.