NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Ixekizumab for treating moderate to severe, chronic plaque psoriasis [ID904]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Eli Lilly (ixekizumab)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Action Against Allergy	British National Formulary
Allergy UK	Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	Department of Health, Social Services
Changing Faces	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Muslim Council of Britain	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Psoriasis Association	Medicines and Healthcare products
Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis	Regulatory Agency
Alliance	 National Association of Primary Care
Psoriasis Help Organisation	National Pharmacy Association
 South Asian Health Foundation 	NHS Alliance
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
	NHS Confederation
Professional groups	Scottish Medicines Consortium
 British Association of Dermatologists 	
British Dermatological Nursing Group	Possible comparator companies
British Geriatrics Society	AbbVie Limited (adalimumab)
British Skin Foundation	Accord Healthcare (methotrexate)
 British Society for Cutaneous Allergy 	Allergan (acitretin)
 Primary Care Dermatology Society 	B&S Colorama Pharmaceuticals
Royal College of General Practitioners	(ciclosporin)
 Royal College of Nursing 	Cubic Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin)
 Royal College of Pathologists 	Dexcel Pharma (ciclosporin)
 Royal College of Physicians 	Genus Pharmaceuticals (acitretin)
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	Hameln Pharmaceuticals
Royal Society of Medicine	(methotrexate)
UK Clinical Pharmacy Association	Hospira (infliximab, methotrexate)
	Janssen (ustekinumab)
<u>Others</u>	Medac Ltd (methotrexate)
Department of Health	Merck Sharp & Dohme (infliximab)
NHS England	Mylan UK (ciclosporin)
NHS South Worcestershire CCG	Napp (infliximab)
NHS Tower Hamlets CCG	 Novartis Pharmaceuticals

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of ixekizumab for treating moderate to severe, chronic plaque psoriasis [ID904]

Issue date: November 2015

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Welsh Government	 (secukinumab, ciclosporin) Orion Pharma UK (methotrexate) Pfizer (etanercept, methotrexate) Sandoz (methotrexate) Teva UK (methotrexate) Wockhardt UK (methotrexate)
	 Relevant research groups British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology, University of Nottingham Cochrane Skin Group MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Skin Research Centre Skin Treatment & Research Trust Associated Public Health groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: November 2015 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of ixekizumab for treating moderate to severe, chronic plaque psoriasis [ID904]

Issue date: November 2015 Page 3 of 3

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.