NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Afatinib for treating locally advanced or metastatic squamous non-small cell lung cancer after platinum-based chemotherapy ID969

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Boehringer Ingelheim (afatinib)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
, ,	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Black Health Agency	British National Formulary
British Lung Foundation	Care Quality Commission
Cancer Black Care	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Equality	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
• HAWC	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	Regulatory Agency
Macmillan Cancer Support	National Association of Primary Care
Maggie's Centres	National Pharmacy Association
Marie Curie Cancer Care	NHS Alliance
Muslim Council of Britain	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation	NHS Confederation
South Asian Health Foundation	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Specialised Healthcare Alliance The Control of the Contro	Possible comparator companies
Tenovus Cancer Care	Possible comparator companiesAccord Healthcare (docetaxel)
UK Lung Cancer Coalition	
Professional groups	Allergan (docetaxel)Bristol-Myers Squibb (nivolumab)
Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians	 Dr Reddy's Laboratories (docetaxel)
Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Pospiratory Nurse	Eli Lilly (ramucirumab)
 Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists 	Hospira UK (docetaxel)
 British Geriatrics Society 	medac (docetaxel)
British Institute of Radiology	Merck Sharp & Dohme
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society 	(pembrolizumab)
British Thoracic Oncology Group	Roche (erlotinib)
British Thoracic Society	Sanofi (docetaxel)
Cancer Research UK	Teva UK (docetaxel)
National Lung Cancer Forum for	(2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.
Nurses	Relevant research groups
Primary Care Respiratory Society UK	Cochrane Lung Cancer Group

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the single technology appraisal of afatinib for treating locally advanced or metastatic squamous non-small cell lung cancer after platinum-based chemotherapy [ID969]

Issue date: November 2016 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine 	 Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups
 Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	Public Health EnglandPublic Health Wales
Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Nottingham City CCG NHS Shropshire CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: November 2016 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for the single technology appraisal of afatinib for treating locally advanced or metastatic squamous non-small cell lung cancer after platinum-based chemotherapy [ID969]

Issue date: November 2016 Page 3 of 3

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.