NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Ponatinib for treating chronic myeloid leukaemia and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia [ID671]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
 ARIAD Pharmaceuticals (ponatinib) 	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
 African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust 	British National Formulary
 Anthony Nolan 	Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	 Department of Health, Social Services
Bloodwise	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer Black Care	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer Equality	 Medicines and Healthcare products
Cancer52	Regulatory Agency
Chronic Myeloid Leukaemia Support	National Association of Primary Care
Group	National Pharmacy Association
Delete Blood Cancer	NHS Alliance
• HAWC	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	NHS Confederation
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Leukaemia Cancer Society	Descible comparator construction
Leukaemia CARE	Possible comparator companies
Lymphoma Association	Bristol-Myers Squibb (by draw year bamida)
Macmillan Cancer Support	(hydroxycarbamide)
Maggie's Centres Masic Conic	Genzyme (clofarabine) Medae LIK (bydrovycarbomide)
Marie Curie Macline Council of Britain	Medac UK (hydroxycarbamide) Nordia Pharma (hydroxycarbamida)
Muslim Council of Britain Danage Council of Britain	Nordic Pharma (hydroxycarbamide) Dfizer (heautinib)
Rarer Cancers Foundation South Asian Health Foundation	Pfizer (bosutinib)
South Asian Health Foundation Specialized Linealth Foundation	Relevant research groups
Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tanana Care Care	 Cochrane Haematological Malignancies
Tenovus Cancer Care	Group
Professional groups	Elimination of Leukaemia Fund
 Association of Cancer Physicians 	Leuka
British Blood Transfusion Society	Leukaemia Busters
British Committee for Standards in	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Haematology	National Cancer Research Institute
British Geriatrics Society	National Cancer Research Network

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of ponatinib for treating chronic myeloid leukaemia and acute lymphoblastic leukaemiaID671]

Issue date: June 2016 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology British Society for Human Genetics Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Forum on Haemoglobin Disorders UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing_Society 	 National Institute for Health Research The Institute of Cancer Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
Others Department of Health NHS Ealing CCG NHS England NHS South Cheshire CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of ponatinib for treating chronic myeloid leukaemia and acute lymphoblastic leukaemiaID671]

Issue date: June 2016 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland;); related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of ponatinib for treating chronic myeloid leukaemia and acute lymphoblastic leukaemiaID671]

Issue date: June 2016 Page 3 of 3

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.