

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Ibrutinib for untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia ID918

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Janssen (ibrutinib) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust • Anthony Nolan • Black Health Agency • Bloodwise • Cancer Black Care • Cancer Equality • Cancer52 • Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support Association • Delete Blood Cancer • HAWC • Helen Rollason Cancer Charity • Independent Cancer Patients Voice • Leukaemia Cancer Society • Leukaemia CARE • Lymphoma Association • Macmillan Cancer Support • Maggie's Centres • Marie Curie Cancer Care • Muslim Council of Britain • Rarer Cancers Foundation • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Tenovus Cancer Care <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Cancer Physicians • British Blood transfusion society • British Committee for Standards in Haematology • British Geriatrics Society 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium <p><u>Possible comparator companies</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aspen Pharma (chlorambucil) • GlaxoSmithKline (chlorambucil, ofatumumab) • Napp Pharmaceuticals (bendamustine) • Roche Products (obinituzumab, rituximab) <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group • Institute of Cancer Research • Leuka • Leukaemia Busters • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Cancer Research Institute • National Cancer Research Network

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
 Final matrix for proposed technology appraisal of ibrutinib for untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia ID918]

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Institute of Radiology • British Psychosocial Oncology Society • British Society for Haematology • British transplantation society • Cancer Research UK • National Blood Service • NHS Blood & transplant • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Radiologists • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • Society and College of Radiographers • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • UK Health Forum • UK Oncology Nursing Society • UK Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS East and North Hertfordshire CCG • NHS England • NHS Southern Derbyshire CCG • Welsh Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Institute for Health Research <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.