NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Ibrutinib for untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia ID918

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Janssen (ibrutinib)	 Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust	British National Formulary
Anthony Nolan	Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	Department of Health, Social Services
Bloodwise Carran Black Carr	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer Black Care Cancer Fave little	Healthcare Improvement Scotland Madisings and Uselth save maduate
Cancer Equality Cancer Equality	Medicines and Healthcare products Pagulatory Agency
Cancer52 Chronia Lymphanytia Laukaamia	Regulatory AgencyNational Association of Primary Care
Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support Association	 National Pharmacy Association
Delete Blood Cancer	NHS Alliance
HAWC	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	NHS Confederation
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Leukaemia Cancer Society	
Leukaemia CARE	Possible comparator companies
Lymphoma Association	 Aspen Pharma (chlorambucil)
Macmillan Cancer Support	 GlaxoSmithKline (chlorambucil,
Maggie's Centres	ofatumumab)
Marie Curie Cancer Care	 Napp Pharmaceuticals (bendamustine)
Muslim Council of Britain	 Roche Products (obinituzumab,
 Rarer Cancers Foundation 	rituximab)
South Asian Health Foundation	Delevent research graves
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Relevant research groups
Tenovus Cancer Care	 Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group
	Institute of Cancer Research
Professional groups	Leuka
Association of Cancer Physicians Dittal Physicians	Leukaemia Busters
British Blood transfusion society British Committee for Oten deads in	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
British Committee for Standards in Heamstelagy	National Cancer Research Institute
Haematology	 National Cancer Research Network
British Geriatrics Society	- Hational Canon Robotion Notwork

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of ibrutinib for untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia ID918]

Issue date: January 2016 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology British transplantation society Cancer Research UK National Blood Service NHS Blood & transplant Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society UK Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum 	 National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
 Others Department of Health NHS East and North Hertfordshire CCG NHS England NHS Southern Derbyshire CCG Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of ibrutinib for untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia ID918]

Issue date: January 2016 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of ibrutinib for untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia ID918]

Issue date: January 2016 Page 3 of 3

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.