NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Bortezomib for treating multiple myeloma after second and subsequent relapse ID1120

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
CompanyJanssen-Cilag (bortezomib)Patient/carer groupAfrican Caribbean Leukaemia TrustAnthony NolanBlack Health AgencyBloodwiseCancer Black CareCancer EqualityCancer52DKMSHAWCHelen Rollason Cancer CharityIndependent Cancer Patients VoiceLeukaemia Cancer SocietyLeukaemia CARELymphoma AssociationMarie CurieMarie CurieMuslim Council of BritainMyeloma UKSouth Asian Health FoundationSpecialised Healthcare AllianceTenovus Cancer CareProfessional groupsAssociation of Cancer PhysiciansBritish Committee for Standards in HaematologyBritish Geriatrics Society	General Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (bendamustine, bortezomib, doxorubicin) Actavis UK (bendamustine) Amgen (carfilzomib) Aspen (melphalan) Baxter (cyclophosphamide) Celgene (lenalidomide and pomalidomide) Dr Reddy's Laboratories (bendamustine) Janssen (daratumumab) Janssen-Cilag (doxorubicin)
	Napp Pharmaceuticals (bendamustine)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed single technology appraisal of bortezomib for treating multiple myeloma after second and subsequent relapse ID1120

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Devel College of Dathelegists 	 Novartis (panobinostat) Zentiva (bendamustine) Takeda UK (ixazomib citrate) Concordia International (dexamethasone) Sandaz Limited (avalaphaaphamida)
 Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Myeloma Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 Sandoz Limited (cyclophosphamide) <u>Relevant research groups</u> Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters MRC Clinical Trials Unit
 <u>Others</u> Department of Health NHS England NHS South East Staffordshire and Seisdon Peninsular CCG NHS Stoke on Trent CCG Welsh Government 	 National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed single technology appraisal of bortezomib for treating multiple myeloma after second and subsequent relapse ID1120

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed single technology appraisal of bortezomib for treating multiple myeloma after second and subsequent relapse ID1120