NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Multiple Technology Appraisal (MTA)

Adalimumab and etanercept for treating severe, chronic plaque psoriasis in children and adolescents after topical therapy or phototherapy

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
AbbVie (adalimumab)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Pfizer (etanercept)	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	British National Formulary
Action Against Allergy	Care Quality Commission
Action for Sick Children	Department of Health, Social Services
Afiya Trust	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Allergy UK	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Black Health Agency	Medicines and Healthcare products
Changing faces	Regulatory Agency
 Equalities National Council 	National Association of Primary Care
Muslim Council of Britain	 National Pharmacy Association
 National Children's Bureau 	NHS Alliance
 Psoriasis Association 	 NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
 Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis 	NHS Confederation
Alliance	Scottish Medicines Consortium
 Psoriasis Help Organisation 	
 South Asian Health Foundation 	Possible comparator companies
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Accord Healthcare (methotrexate)
	AMCo (methotrexate)
Professional groups	B & S Colarama Pharmaceuticals
British Association of Dermatologists	Cubic Phamaceuticals (ciclosporin)
British Dermatological Nursing Group	Dexcel Pharma (ciclosporin)
British Geriatrics Society	 Hospira UK (methotrexate)
British Skin Foundation	Mylan UK (ciclosporin)
British Society for Cutaneous Allergy	Novartis (ciclosporin)
Primary Care Dermatology Society	Orion Pharma (methotrexate)
Royal College of General Practitioners	Sandoz (methotrexate)
Royal College of Nursing	 Teva UK (ciclosporin, methotrexate)
Royal College of Paediatrics & Child	
Health	Relevant research groups
Royal College of Pathologists	British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society
Royal College of Physicians	Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology,
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	University of Nottingham

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of adalimumab and adalimumab for treating severe, chronic plaque psoriasis in children and adolescents aged after topical therapy or phototherapy [ID854]

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal Society of Medicine UK Clinical Pharmacy Association <u>Others</u> Department of Health NHS England NHS Bradford Districts CCG NHS Scarborough and Ryedale CCG Welsh Government 	 Cochrane Skin Group MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Skin Research Centre Skin Treatment & Research Trust Evidence Review Group Review Group TBC National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline groups National Clinical Guideline Centre Associated Public Health groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of adalimumab and adalimumab for treating severe, chronic plaque psoriasis in children and adolescents aged after topical therapy or phototherapy [ID854]

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of adalimumab and adalimumab for treating severe, chronic plaque psoriasis in children and adolescents aged after topical therapy or phototherapy [ID854]