NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

CDF Reconsideration Process

NICE Technology Appraisal No.371 - Trastuzumab emtansine for treating HER2positive, unresectable locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer after treatment with trastuzumab and a taxane

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Compultons	Commentations (no night to exchange
Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
0	appeal)
Company/sponsor	General commentators
Roche Products (trastuzumab	Allied Health Professionals Federation
emtansine)	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	 British National Formulary
Black Health Agency	 Care Quality Commission
Breast Cancer Care	 Department of Health, Social Services
Breast Cancer Now	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Breast Cancer UK	 Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer Black Care	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Cancer Equality	Regulatory Agency
Haven	 National Association of Primary Care
HAWC	 National Pharmacy Association
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	NHS Alliance
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	 NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Macmillan Cancer Support	NHS Confederation
Maggie's Centres	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
Marie Curie Cancer Care	
Muslim Council of Britain	<u>Comparators</u>
South Asian Health Foundation	 Actavis (vinorelbine)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	 GlaxoSmithKline (lapatinib)
Tenovus Cancer Care	 Hospira UK (vinorelbine)
Women's Health Concern	 Medac UK (vinorelbine)
	 Pierre Fabre (vinorelbine)
Professional groups	 Roche Products (capecitabine)
Association of Anaesthetists	 Wockhardt UK (vinorelbine)
Association of Breast Surgery	
Association of Cancer Physicians	Relevant research groups
Association of Surgeons of Great	 Against Breast Cancer
Britain and Ireland	Breast Cancer Hope
British Geriatrics Society	 Breast Cancer Research Trust
British Institute of Radiology	 Cochrane Breast Cancer Group
British Psychosocial Oncology Society	Institute of Cancer Research
Cancer Research UK	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Royal College of Anaesthetists	 National Cancer Research Institute

Final matrix for the CDF rapid reconsideration of trastuzumab emtansine for treating HER2-positive, unresectable locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer after treatment with trastuzumab and a taxane Issue date: May 2016 Page 1 of 3

- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal College of Radiologists
- Royal College of Surgeons
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Society and College of Radiographers
- UK Clinical Pharmacy Association
- UK Health Forum
- UK Oncology Nursing Society

Others

- Department of Health
- NHS England
- NHS Slough CCG
- NHS West Lancashire CCG
- Welsh Government

- National Cancer Research Network
- National Institute for Health Research
- Pro-Cancer Research Fund

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.